UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,560	05/12/2006	Shinji Tanaka	Q92427	8616
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/06/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	TRUONG, DUC		
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/563,560	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc Truong	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	, 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·	election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>010506,051206 AND 091206</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

In the response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects group I, claims 1-4, drawn to a triblock copolymer, without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rathi et al (6,201,072) of record on 1449.

The reference discloses a water soluble, biodegradable ABA triblock polymer in that a hydrophobic A polymer is a depsipeptide, copolymers of alpha-amino acids and alpha-hydroxy acids, and a hydrophilic PEG B polymer block (see Abstract).

Note that the hydrophobic A polymers have been disclosed at col. 5, lines 37-55; last paragraph, col. 8, lines 28-43) with various molar ratios and the WAMW (Mw) is between about 600-3,000.

Note also that the PEG has been disclosed at col. 5, lines 57-60 and the Mw is between about 500-2200.

Note also that the triblock copolymer requires two A components and one B component. Based on the Mw, as stated above and the Mw/Mn ratio of 1.4 (see col. 14, lines 4-6), if the Mw of said triblock copolymer is converted to Mn, it would be overlapped with those in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,560 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it neither disclose the formula (1) in claim 4 nor the difference between A1 and A2. However, A1 and A2 each composed of a polymer having a depsipeptide unit, and they can be the same or difference.

Further, the reference does disclose the required reactants having the claimed formula. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the A components from the reference within the limitation of the instant claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,560 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Duc Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796